

REMARKS

In the January 31, 2005, Office Action, it was noted that Applicants' petition to revive the application under 37 CFR 1.137(b)(f) was granted. The Examiner indicated that the application was in condition for allowance except for Claim 29, which was objected to under 37 C.F.R. Section 1.75(c) as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Claims 10-17 and 28 were allowed.

Applicants thank the Examiner for the indication that Claims 10-17 and 28 are allowable. Applicants have amended Claim 28 to correct the inadvertent omission of a semicolon in line 15 of Claim 28. Applicants have cancelled Claim 29 without prejudice in order to move the present patent application to allowance. Accordingly, Applicants believe that the application with Claims 10-17 and 28 are patentable at this time. These claims remain pending following entry of this Amendment, and the case with Claims 10-17 and 28 is believed to be in condition for allowance at this time. Applicants respectfully request entry of this Amendment, and an early and favorable consideration of the claimed subject matter as amended is solicited. Should the Examiner believe that the

prosecution of the application could be expedited, the Examiner is requested to call Applicants' undersigned attorney at the number listed below.

Respectfully submitted:

BY



Leslie S. Miller
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 30,662

Reinhart Boerner Van Deuren s.c.
1000 North Water Street, Suite 2100
Milwaukee, WI 53202

(414) 298-8321

Customer No. 22922

MW/1178597